SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, OCTOBER 11, 2002 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S109240

A094056 First Appellate District,

Division Two

AD HOC COMMITTEE FOR CLEAN WATER v.

SONOMA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Time extended to grant or deny review

to and including November 15, 2002.

S109247

A098078 First Appellate District,

Division Five

CALDWELL v. WCAB (KELLY SERVICES)

Time extended to grant or deny review

to and including November 18, 2002.

S109253

A097738 First Appellate District,

Division Four

EDUCATION RESOURCES INSTITUTE v. LIPSKY

Time extended to grant or deny review

to November 15, 2002

S109277

A098811 First Appellate District,

Division Three

KUHNLE v. KUHNLE

Time extended to grant or deny review

to and including November 19, 2002.

S109280

B156730 Second Appellate District,

Division Four

RODABAUGH ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to and including November 19, 2002.

S109287

E030186 Fourth Appellate District,

Division Two

PEDERSEN v. JONES (S-P MURDY LLC)

Time extended to grant or deny review

to and including November 19, 2002.

S109302

A092880 First Appellate District,

Division Five

MITCHELL et al. v. AMERICAN FAIR CREDIT

Time extended to grant or deny review

to November 15, 2002.

A094460 First Appellate District,

Division Five

DOWHAL v. SMITHKLINE

Time extended to grant or deny review

to November 19, 2002.

S109309

A098777 First Appellate District,

Division One

CARR (CHARLES H.) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to November 20, 2002

S109314

B157357 Second Appellate District,

Division Four

BURNS (CHRISTOPHER) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to November 15, 2002.

S109352

A098952 First Appellate District,

Division One

NORGAAR v. S.C. (MEDTRONIC AVE, INC.)

Time extended to grant or deny review

to November 19, 2002.

S109359

H024393 Sixth Appellate District

OCHOA (ERNEST) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to November 21, 2002

S110035

A093927 First Appellate District,

Division Three

PEOPLE v. ALLEN

Time extended to grant or deny review

on the Court's own motion to November 14,

2002.

S019697

PEOPLE v. WARD (CARMEN)

Extension of time granted

to November 1, 2002 file appellant's opening brief. Extension is granted based upon counsel Robert Franklin Howell's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 11/1/2002. After that date, no further

extension will be granted.

PEOPLE v. MORRISON (JESSE) Extension of time granted

to November 4, 2002 to file appellant's reply brief. After that date, no further extension is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon counsel John L. Dodd's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by November 3, 2002.

S045060

PEOPLE v. LOKER (KEITH T.) Extension of time granted

to December 6, 2002 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to take all steps necessary to meet this schedule

S102580

STEWART (RICHARD) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to November 4, 2002 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only one further extension totaling 10 additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Mark S. Howell's representation that he anticipates filing that document by November 15, 2002.

S108587

RULE 962 SUSPENSION Order filed

The suspension of **John W. Branch** pursuant to our order filed on July 25, 2002, is hereby terminated.

This order is final forthwith.

LOPEZ ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that MARCO ELOY LOPEZ, State Bar No. 71726, be suspended from the practice of law for one year and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for three years subject to the conditions of probation, including 90 days actual suspension, recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on June 4, 2002. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S108773

FRANCISCO ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that GORDON ROBERT FRANCISCO, State Bar No. 93926, be suspended from the practice of law for two years, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be actually suspended from the practice of law for six months and until he makes restitution to Julio and Brenda Zapata (or the Client Security Fund, if

appropriate) in the amount of \$1800 plus 10% interest per annum from October 1, 1998, and furnishes satisfactory proof thereof to the Probation Unit, State Bar Office of the Chief Trial Counsel, as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its decision filed on May 6, 2002; and until the State Bar Court grants a motion to terminate his actual suspension pursuant to rule 205 of the Rules of Procedure of the State Bar of California. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the conditions of probation, if any, hereinafter imposed by the State Bar Court as a condition for terminating his actual suspension. If respondent is actually suspended for two years or more, he shall remain actually suspended until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. It is further ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order or during the period of his actual suspension, whichever is longer. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) It is further ordered that respondent comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

BLUM ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **MELANIE RAE BLUM**, **State Bar Number 100319**, be suspended from the practice of law for three years, that

S108776

execution of the three-year suspension be stayed, and that she be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation, including 30 days actual suspension, recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its opinion filed May 24, 2002. It is further ordered that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of this passage to the State Bar's Probation Unit in Los Angeles during the same period. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 61407

S108950

GOLDEN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that CHARLES KERN GOLDEN, State Bar No. 121625, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S108951

SLOTNICK ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that **BARRY LAWRENCE SLOTNICK**, **State Bar No. 183717**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and

ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years on condition that he be actually suspended for 30 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed June 5, 2002. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See Segretti v. State Bar (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 61407

S108959

DRYER ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

It is hereby ordered that GLEN JOHN DRYER, State Bar No. 141682, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Respondent is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar. *(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S108960

BRADLEY ON DISCIPLINE Recommended discipline imposed

It is ordered that ROBERT CLEARY BRADLEY,

State Bar No. 41818, be suspended from the practice of law for three years and until he provides proof to the satisfaction of the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii) of the Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct that execution of the

suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years subject to the conditions of probation, including actual suspension of nine months and until he complies with standard 1.4(c)(ii), as set forth above; and until he shows proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of at least 12 months continuous sobriety, which may include sobriety commencing prior to the effective date of this order. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on April 18, 2002. It is also ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of actual suspension, unless he has already successfully completed the MPRE in connection with SO92280. It is further ordered that he comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and that he perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2004 and 2005.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)